

' Making it Happen '

Results of the survey conducted by South Cave Parish Council amongst households in the village in July 2010 to assess responses to the proposals submitted by East Riding of Yorkshire Council for future development within South Cave.

Questionnaires were distributed to each household within the village = 1656
Responses were received from 297 = 18%

Question 1 – do you agree that the number of houses proposed by ERYC (65) is right?

Yes - 49 = 16.5%

No - 248 = 83.5%

Question 2 – If not, how many houses should be built?

More than 65 – 3 = 1.2%

Less than 30 – 56 = 22.6%

None – 175 = 70.6%

Other – 14 = 5.6%

Question 3 - Do you think South Cave should build on Greenfield land outside the existing village development limit?

Yes – 33 = 11.1%

No – 264 = 88.9%

Question 4 – What concerns do you have regarding new developments?

For this multi-choice option 756 total concerns were registered.

Flooding - 142 = 18.8%

Traffic - 241 = 31.9%

Parking - 87 = 11.5%

Utilities - 107 = 14.2%

School - 84 = 11.1%

Dentist - 45 = 6.0%

Doctor - 50 = 6.6%

Question 5 – Are you aware of any flooding issues on any of the potential sites, not just in 2007 but at other times?

Yes – 113 = 38.0%

No – 184 = 62.0%

Question 6 – Should development in South Cave take place where it increases the flooding risk to other homes?

Yes – 2 = 0.7%

No – 295 = 99.3%

Question 7 – If housing has to be accommodated, in identifying sites do you think it would be sensible to consider

Several potential sites spread around the village - 193 = 65.0%
One site of 65+ properties increasing traffic
flows potentially to only one or two road junctions – 58 = 19.5%
None sites at all - 46 = 15.5%

Question 8 – Have you or anyone from your family had difficulty in registering for a place at the school, doctor's surgery, dental practice or nursing home in the village?

Of the 297 responses – 62 (20.9%) had experienced difficulties
235 (79.1%) had not.

Of those that had experienced difficulty: -
8.9% (7) had problems with the school
67.1% (53) had difficulties registering with the Dentist
21.5% (17) had problems at the Doctor
2.5% (2) had difficulties with a nursing home

Question 9 – Do you currently experience any difficulties with the existing infrastructure?

279 or 93.9% experience difficulties.
18 or 6.1% do not

Of those that do experience difficulties: -
259 or 42.2% have problems with the traffic
99 or 16.1% experience difficulties with the drainage
242 or 39.4% have problems parking motor vehicles
14 or 2.3% have other difficulties

Question 10 – How many cars are in your household?

The 297 responses declared 482 vehicles – 1.6 per household.
Extrapolated across the village this would produce a total of
2650 vehicles.

Question 11 – How do you travel to work?

Of the 297 responses 154 (51.9%) do not travel to work.

The remaining 143 responses declared 215 persons as working and
of these: -

9 (4.2%) walk
12 (5.6%) travel by bicycle
1 (0.5%) travel by motor bike
6 (2.8%) go by bus
166 (77.2%) travel by car
None travel by taxi
21 (9.8%) go by train

Of the 21 going by train
3 (14.3%) travel to the station by taxi
17 (81.0%) travel by car
1 (4.7%) gets a lift.

Question 12 – How do you regard car parking in your street/road/close?

Good - 54 (18.2%)
Fair - 117 (39.4%)
Poor - 100 (33.7%)
No opinion – 26 (8.7%)

Question 13 – Is your main shopping conducted outside the village?

Yes - 284 (95.6%)
No - 13 (4.4%)

Of the 284 shopping outside the village: -

271 (95.4%) travel by car
6 (2.1%) travel by bus
7 (2.5%) use other means (e.g. on line)

Question 14 – Of the proposed houses required, how many should be classified as affordable?

231 of the responses were in favour of affordable housing being included in any development (77.8%)

The options preferred were: -

None - 66 (22.2%)
20% - 50 (16.8%)
50% - 78 (26.3%)
75% - 34 (11.4%)
All - 69 (23.3%)

Question 15 – Have you or your family had in the last 5 years or are currently finding difficulty finding an affordable home locally?

Yes - 64 (21.5%)
No - 233 (78.5%)

Of the 64 looking for affordable housing: -

62 (96.8%) were looking for Owner Occupier property
1 (1.6%) required Private Renting property
1 (1.6%) required Social Renting property

53 (82.8%) were looking for a house
11 (17.2%) required a bungalow.